Chronology of the slander story Jolly vs. Hoefle

Date	Place	Event [in brackets comments of Martin Reuter]	Printed/referred to in
September	Springfield,	The main point of the controversy:	Letter April 1, '55
12, 1954		whether or not Eschrich told Gavin, that Jolly told Eschrich	Letter May 19, '55
		that Hoefle was dishonest in his business dealings with the investment of Sister XY	
A day in	Detroit, Mich.	Gavin told Hoefle what Eschrich told Gavin in Springfield;	Letter April 1, '55
fall, 1954		[this is the correct behavior in such case];	Letter May 19, '55
		Hoefle explained him the matter concerning Sister XY	
The next	Detroit, Mich.	Gavin asked Sister XY about this matter	Letter April 1, '55
day		[again the correct behavior in such case];	
		Sister XY confirmed to Gavin that Hoefle did nothing wrong	
		to her;	
		then Gavin told Hoefle that he already "surmised there was	
		some malice on the part of Brother Jolly"	
Later in	Mount Dora,	Gavin guest at Hoefle:	Letter April 1, '55
fall, 1954	Fla.	Gavin offered – in the presence of witnesses – to write	
		Brother Eschrich that he had proven without doubt that	
		what Brother Eschrich had heard and passed on to him was	
		simply nothing but slander	
October	Letter	Hoefle to Eschrich:	EBS, No. 1
30, 1954		"Will you please let me know immediately if you are guilty	Letter April 1, '55
		as stated above. If so, then I ask you to tell me the name of	
		your informant, and the exact content of what you were	
		told."	
November	Letter (respond)	Eschrich to Hoefle:	PT '56, 20
2, 1954		only evasive answers, mainly about what he was talking	Letter April 1, '55
		with Sister XY	
November	Letter (respond)	Hoefle to Eschrich:	Letter April 1, '55
18, 1954		asking for a clear, clean answer	
Nov. '54 –	/	Eschrich never replied	Letter April 1, '55
Feb. '55			
February	Jacksonville, Fla.	Convention:	Letter April 1, '55
27, 1955		Hoefle asked Eschrich about the slander,	
		Eschrich gave Hoefle his "solemn word" that he had	
		repeated it to no one except his wife;	
		Gavin was asked and stated most emphatically and in	
		minute detail that – "You told me that on September 12 in	
		Springfield, and you said Brother Jolly had told it to you";	
		Hoefle asked Eschrich if Jolly had told him that and asked	
5ab 27	Maynet Dava	for a clear "Yes or No". Eschrich refused to answer.	Lattar March 17 (FF
Feb. 27 -	Mount Dora,	Gavin guest at Hoefle: the matter was discussed frequently and at length with	Letter March 17, '55
March 16,	Fla.		Letter May 19, '55
1955		Gavin; it was clearly understood that Jolly was to be questioned	
		about it during his visit at Winter Park Ecclesia, March 15 –	
		16, 1955	
March 15-	Ecclesia at	Question-meeting: (Br. Hoefle was absent)	Letter March 24, '55
16 <i>,</i> 1955	Winter Park,	Sr. Hoefle asked Jolly if Eschrich had told him what	Letter April 1, '55
10, 1955	Fla.	happened at the Jacksonville Convention. Brother Jolly	Letter May 20, '55 (b)
		replied "No", further stating that Brother Eschrich had not	
		told him anything.	
		Sr. Hoefle by her question to Gavin, plainly revealed what	
		the complaint was, namely, that Gavin had not given the	
		same story to the Winter Park Ecclesia that he had	
		previously given to Brother Hoefle in Detroit, and again at	
	1		

		Brother Gavin denied before the Ecclesia, that he had said	
		Brother Eschrich told him that Brother Jolly gave him this	
		report.	
March 17,	Orlando, Fla.	Conversation of Br. and Sr. Stanford with Jolly:	Letter May 20, '55 (b)
1955		Jolly mentioned that Brother Eschrich told him that the	
200		spirit shown at the private meeting with Hoefle at	
		Jacksonville Convention was so bad that he, Bro. Eschrich,	
		thought it best to open the official meeting right away and	
		put an end to the private meeting	
March 17,	Letter	Hoefle to Winter Park Ecclesia:	EBSA, No. 1
1955		"It has just been reported to me that Brother Gavin flatly	
		denied before you all this week that he ever said he had	
		been told by Brother Eschrich that Brother Jolly had told	
		him (Bro. Eschrich) a tale to the effect that I was imposing	
		upon Truth widows, that I had accepted \$1,000 from one,	
		not even giving her a receipt for it."	
		then explaining the events in Detroit, Jacksonville and	
		Mount Dora (",the matter was discussed frequently and at	
		length with Gavin; it was clearly understood that Jolly was	
		to be questioned about it during his visit at Winter Park	
		Ecclesia, March 15 – 16, 1955")	
March 24,	Letter	Jolly to Hoefle:	EBSA, No. 1
1955		defending against charges against him at Question-meeting	Letter May 20, '55 (b)
		at Winter Park Ecclesia;	
		"The charges made against Brother Gavin and against me	
		before the Winter Park Ecclesia and visitors (Sister Gavin	
		and Sister Wilson, of Lakeland, Fla.), were news to me, as I	
		then heard them for the first time."	
		"I understand that Sister XY has no complaints against you";	
		"Gavin stated three times that my name was not	
		mentioned when Eschrich talked to him"	
March 30,	Letter	Gavin to Winter Park Ecclesia:	EBSA, No. 1
1955		"Sr. Gavin received a copy of a letter that Brother Hoefle	Letter May 19, '55
		addressed to you regarding some things regarding myself."	Letter May 20, '55 (b)
		"as I remember when Bro. Eschrich told me about Bro.	
		Hoefle, Bro. Jolly's name was not mentioned,	
		and for Sister Hoefle to act as she did was a breach of con-	
		fidence as I see it."	
April 1,	Letter (respond)	Hoefle to Jolly:	EBSA, No. 1
1955		asking these questions:	Letter May 20, '55 (b)
		"1. Did you discuss that matter with Sister XY during the	
		past year or so? If so, please state what prompted the	
		discussion, and give me a resumé.	
		2. Did you discuss it with Brother Eschrich about the time of	
		the last Philadelphia Convention – or any other time? If so,	
		please state what prompted the discussion, and give me a	
		resumé.	
		3. Have you discussed it with any one else? If so, please give	
		details,	
		4. Did you discuss with Brother Eschrich the Jacksonville	
		item described above? If so, please give a resumé, and	
		what conclusions you reached";	
		and telling that:	
		"last Fall at Mount Dora Brother Gavin offered – in the	
		presence of witnesses – to write Brother Eschrich that he	
		had proven without doubt that what Brother Eschrich had	

		heard and passed on to him was simply nothing but slander."	
May, 1955	Present Truth	Page 37: [instead of answering Hofle, Jolly wrote an article about evil speaking] "A case has recently come to our attention in which a prominent Truth brother had a certain financial transaction with a widowed sister in the Lord, in which some money she had entrusted to him was lost, though apparently [why not "certainly"?] through no fault of his." [Jolly in the letter of March 24: "I understand that Sister XY has no complaints against you"] " regardless of how good or honest the intentions of those who repeated the matter may have been" [what good intentions they may have had in repeating the matter instead of going to Hoefle and talking with him?]	EBSA, No, 1 Letter May 20, '55 (b)
May 16, 1955	Telegram	Hoefle to Jolly: "WHEN MAY I EXPECT YOUR ANSWER MY LETTER APRIL FIRST"	EBSA, No. 1
May 17, 1955	Letter (respond)	Jolly to Hoefle: "In view of paragraph 2 sentence 2, of your letter of May 22, 1954 ("You never hesitate to tell a lie when it suits your convenience"), and other considerations, I can see nothing of benefit to you or others that would be accomplished by my writing to you further at this time."	EBSA, No. 1 Letter June 25, '55
May 20, 1955 (a)	Letter (respond)	Hoefle to Jolly: "1. Who gave the slander to you about Sister XY and me? 2. Did you repeat it to Brother Eschrich, or any one else? Your Scriptural obligation in this matter is clear enough; and I again call upon you to meet it in accordance with your own confession of "full harmony" with Brother Russell's article [in Z Nov. 15, 1908, 348-52 – Matt. 18]"; "Your attempt of March 24 to plead Matt. 18 [concerning the questions at Winter Park Ecclesia] is simply so much nonsense. In your position, you should welcome the opportunity to make a public defense of your character or your ministry, at any reasonable time or in any place, if – YOU HAVE NOTHING TO HIDE!" "Of course, in your refusal to respond to me when I come to you, as I did in my letter of April 1, you self-evidently show your contempt for Matthew 18"	EBSA, No. 1
May 19, 1955	Letter	Hoefle to Gavin: "Recently in Winter Park I saw your letter of March 30, in which you say "it was agreed that anything that night be said about certain things and persons was to be confident- ial" while you were in Mount Dora Feb. 27 to March 16. This is indeed a surprise – quite a surprise – as no one at the Mount Dora residence remembers the word "confidence" being even mentioned. You insist again that "Bro. Jolly's name was not mentioned when Bro. Eschrich told you about Bro. Hoefle." So far as I can see, that is the one and only item of contention – Did you bring Brother Jolly's name into the Eschrich conversations or didn't you? If you did not – as you contend – then how could your confidence possibly be betrayed when, as you claim, you never gave that confidence to me? Will you please make yourself clear on this?	EBSA, No. 1

		As you well know, I was aware of the slanderous gossip	
		being circulated about me long before you came to me	
		about it in Detroit last fall. You added same details, of	
		course, chief of which was that Brother Jolly had passed it	
		to Brother Eschrich, the latter in turn passing it on to you.	
		Had you pledged me to secrecy in this, just what good could	
		it do me to know it? And what is your understanding of	
		your Scriptural and civic obligation in such matters?"	
May 20,	Letter	Br. and Sr. Stanford (of Winter Park Ecclesia) to Hoefle:	EBSA, No. 1
1955 (b)		"In view of the correspondence which has been furnished to this Ecclesia, namely:	
		- Copy of Brother Jolly's letter of March 24, to you, marked "For the information of the Winter Park Ecclesia",	
		- Brother Gavin's letter of March 30, addressed to the Ecclesia at Winter Park, Florida, and	
		- Copy of your letter to Brother Jolly dated April 1, together with the May P. T.,	
		we recognize that our Scriptural obligation in this matter is to give you the facts as we know them, during Brother	
		Jolly's visit to Winter Park, March 15 and 16.	
		During the Question Meeting, referred to by Brother Jolly in	
		his letter to you, Sr. Hoefle asked Brother Jolly if Brother	
		Eschrich had told him what happened at the Jacksonville	
		Convention. Brother Jolly replied "No", further stating that	
		Brother Eschrich had not told him anything.	
		Sr. Hoefle then explained that she was referring to what	
		had happened in Jacksonville between Brother Eschrich and	
		Brother Hoefle, and asked Brother Jolly if ANYONE had	
		discussed it with him, to which he again replied "No", that	
		he had not been informed.	
		The morning of March 17, as we were having breakfast in	
		the Bus Station in Orlando with Brother Jolly, during the	
		course of our conversation he mentioned the "bad spirit"	
		which was shown at Jacksonville Convention, stating that	
		"someone had shaken their finger in Brother Eschrich's	
		face" and that Brother Eschrich told him that the spirit	
		shown there was so bad that he, Bro. Eschrich, thought it	
		best to open the meeting right away and put an end to it.	
		You will observe that this is in direct contradiction to	
		Brother Jolly's letter of March 24 to you, wherein he stated:	
		"The charges made against Brother Gavin and against me	
		before the Winter Park Ecclesia and visitors (Sister Gavin	
		and Sister Wilson, of Lakeland, Fla.), were news to me, as I	
		then heard them for the first time."	
		Also, it is in direct contradiction to his statements before	
		the Winter Park Ecclesia during the Question Meeting.	
		He did say, in the presence of several of us, after Sr. Hoefle	
		had left, that he thought she showed a very bad spirit, but	
		he offered no reproval to her personally, neither during the	
		meeting nor afterwards, except that, in closing the meeting	
		he did make the statement that some of the questions "were officious."	
		Brother Jolly further stated in his letter to you:	
		"I am not clear as to just what the charge was against	
		i ani not olcar as to just what the charge was against	

			[
		Brother Gavin."	
		The Winter Park Ecclesia clearly understood that Sr. Hoefle	
		by her question to Brother Gavin, plainly revealed what the	
		complaint was, namely, that Brother Gavin had not given	
		the same story to the Winter Park Ecclesia that he had	
		previously given to Brother Hoefle in Detroit, and again at	
		the Jacksonville Convention.	
		Brother Gavin denied before the Ecclesia, that he had said	
		Brother Eschrich told him that Brother Jolly gave him this	
		report.	
		In our private discussion with Brother Jolly the morning of	
		his departure, we frankly told him that a number of things	
		had been said to us by certain brethren, over two years ago,	
		whereby we were led to believe that he, Brother Jolly, had	
		privately discussed with them, or warned, against Brother	
		Hoefle, although the brethren did not intend to betray any	
		confidences and did not specifically mention names.	
		Brother Jolly denied that he had ever given any confidential	
		information or warning concerning Brother Hoefle, to any of the brethren.	
		He stated to us that you were out of harmony with Brother	
		Russell and Bro. Johnson on a doctrinal point, over which he	
		had considerable correspondence with you, but that you	
		still retained your view in opposition to Brother Russell and	
		Brother Johnson.	
		Sr. Stanford said that if we knew for a certainty that Brother	
		Hoefle, or any other brother, was not in harmony with the	
		doctrinal teachings of Bro. Russell and Brother Johnson, we	
		would not allow him to give a talk in our home, to which	
		Brother Jolly emphatically stated: "It is not a fundamental	
		doctrine, Sister."	
		We feel no malice toward any of the brethren, and have	
		had no "personal differences" with any of them. This letter	
		is not written because of prejudice nor partisanship, but	
		plainly as a fulfillment of our duty, not only to you, but	
		others as well. Therefore, you may use it in the interest of	
		Truth and Justice, as you find need for it."	
June 6,	Letter	Hoefle to Br. and Sr. Stanford:	EBSA, No. 1
1955		"Brother Jolly's refusal to answer my letter of April 1	
		because of mine of May 22, 1954 is the clumsiest of	
		sophistry. You yourselves were at Jacksonville on February	
		26 when he publicly asked me to support him in prayer.	
		That was nine months after May 22, 1954; and his letter of	
		March 24, 1955 was ten months later."	
June 25,	Letter	Jolly to Hoefle:	PT '56, 20
1955		"I have longsufferingly endured your abuses and	EBSA, No. 3
		underhanded campaign of opposition and	
		misrepresentation"	
		"In view of your continued oppositional course, I now find it	
		necessary as the Lord's appointed leader for the good	
		Levites and the good Youthful Worthies [not (yet) for all the	
		people of God], and as executive trustee of the Laymen's	
		Home Missionary Movement, in faithfulness to the Lord	
		and the brethren, to suspend you as a Pilgrim of and for the	
		Laymen's Home Missionary Movement."	
		· · · ·	
		[to be continued]	
		· · · · · ·	